INTERNATIONAL POWERLIFTING FEDERATION

IPF By-Laws Amendment Proposals

2026 Extraordinary General Assembly • 8 November 2026

REVISED COMPILATION | WORKING REVIEW COPY | VERSION 3

Source: Amendment proposals and Q33 SurveyMonkey responses emailed and submitted to IPF President and Secretary-General by 9 October 2026

Proposal register

#	By-Law	Submitted proposal	Authority
1	4.6.1	IPF By-Law 4.6.1 – Sport for All Division (Pilot Program)	IPF EC
2	12.3.3	IPF By-Law 12.3.3 – Governance Review – IPF Law and Legislation Commission	IPF EC
3	12.7	IPF By-Law 12.7 – TUE Fee Schedule: Delete Duplicate Processing Fee and Amend Administration Fee	IPF EC
4	12.11	IPF By-Law 12.11 – Travel Costs for IPF Executive	IPF EC
5	14.4	IPF By-Law Article 14.4 - Invitation and Accommodation	IPF EC
6	14.X	Insert New By-Law New IPF By-Law 14.5.__ — IPF Anti-Racism and Non-Discrimination Declaration	IPF EC
7	14.5.6	IPF BY-LAW 14.5.6 – Coach Nomination Limits	IPF EC
8	14.9	Insert New IPF By-Law Article 14.9.10 — IPF Anti-Racism and Non-Discrimination Declaration	IPF EC
9	14.10	New Article New IPF By-Law 14.10.1 — IPF Anti-Racism and Non-Discrimination Declaration	IPF EC
10	15.8	IPF BY-Law 15.8 – Participation in Non-Compliant Anti-Doping Events	IPF EC
11	18	18. APPENDIX — GOVERNANCE PROPOSAL REQUIREMENTS	IPF EC
12	4.9	Article 4.9: Law and Legislation Commission NEW WORDING / ELECTION OF LAW AND LEGISLATION COMMISION	Denmark
13	5.1.1	Bylaws 5.1.1: Lodging of Cases with the Court of Justice	Denmark
14	5.1.1	Bylaws 5.1.1: Hearing Procedure	Denmark
15	5.6	By-Laws 5.6: Composition and Duties of the Court of Justice	Denmark
16	5.7	By-Laws 5.7	Denmark
17	6.3	By-Laws 6.3: Composition and Procedures of the Court of Appeal	Denmark
18	7	By-Laws 7: Ethics Commission – Appointment	Denmark

Method

REDLINE KEY | Proposed additions and revised constitutional language appear in red; unchanged wording appears in black. Express deletions in the proposed passage appear in red strikethrough. Comparison is against the current text reproduced in each submission, not an independent verification of the consolidated Constitution. These are visual redlines, not Word Track Changes.

SECTION I | IPF EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

Submitting authority for the following 11 proposals: IPF Executive Committee. Entries are arranged in numerical By-Law order.

SUBMISSION 01 OF 18 | BY-LAW 4.6.1

1) IPF By-Law 4.6.1 – Sport for All Division (Pilot Program)

Respondent ID	119157383439
Submitting authority	IPF Executive Committee
Proposal category (as reported)	By-Law Amendment
Legislative action	Amendment – Addition to Existing IPF By-Law 4.6

Requested effective date	Immediately Upon Adoption

.

Current text (as submitted)

None – New subsection under existing By-Law 4.6

Proposed text (as submitted)

New Article 4.6.1 – Sport for All Division (Pilot Program)

The Sport for All Division shall operate under the authority of the IPF Executive Committee, with the Sports for All Commission, established under IPF By-Law 4.6, providing advice and recommendations concerning the development, administration, and implementation of the pilot program.

4.6.1.1 Purpose

The International Powerlifting Federation (IPF) is committed to promoting participation opportunities for all individuals through the development of inclusive powerlifting programs.

The Sport for All Division is established as a pilot program to provide participation opportunities for athletes with disabilities while maintaining athlete safety, competitive integrity, and the fundamental principles of IPF competition.

The Sport for All Division shall initially provide participation pathways for athletes with:

a) Visual Impairments;

b) Physical Impairments; and

c) Intellectual Impairments.

4.6.1.2 Pilot Program Authority

The Sport for All Division shall operate as a pilot program under the authority of the IPF Executive Committee.

The Executive Committee may approve participation criteria, eligibility requirements, classification procedures, reasonable accommodations, operational requirements, and administrative guidelines necessary for the implementation and operation of the pilot program.

The pilot program shall remain in effect until reviewed by the General Assembly or until otherwise amended or repealed in accordance with the IPF Constitution and By-Laws.

4.6.1.3 General Eligibility

To participate in the Sport for All Division, an athlete shall:

a) Be a member in good standing of an IPF Member Nation Federation;

b) Comply with all applicable provisions of the IPF Constitution, By-Laws, Technical Rules, and Anti-Doping Rules;

c) Submit supporting documentation through their Member Federation demonstrating eligibility under the approved Sport for All participation criteria; and

d) Comply with any additional participation, classification, or administrative requirements established by the Executive Committee.

4.6.1.4 Sport for All Commission

In addition to its existing responsibilities under IPF By-Law 4.6, the Sports for All Commission shall perform the following responsibilities in relation to the Sport for All Division (Pilot Program):

a) Advise the Executive Committee on Sport for All policies, strategy, and program development;

b) Review and recommend participation criteria, classification procedures, and athlete eligibility requirements;

c) Coordinate with the Technical Commission regarding competition rules, accommodations, equipment requirements, and technical standards;

d) Promote participation opportunities among IPF Member Federations; and

e) Provide recommendations concerning the future expansion, continuation, amendment, or permanent adoption of the Sport for All Division.

4.6.1.5 Technical Rules

The Technical Commission shall develop, publish, and maintain the technical rules, competition standards, accommodation procedures, and any sport-specific requirements applicable to the Sport for All Division.

Such rules shall be approved in accordance with the governance procedures established by the IPF.

4.6.1.6 Review

The Executive Committee shall periodically review the operation of the Sport for All Division and may recommend amendments, expansion, continuation, modification, or permanent adoption following evaluation of participation levels, operational experience, athlete welfare, competitive integrity, and other relevant considerations.

Transitional Provision – Renumbering

Upon adoption of this amendment, this Article shall be inserted into Chapter 14 of the IPF By-Laws as Article 14.6 – Sport for All Division (Pilot Program).

The IPF Secretary-General is authorized to make all necessary editorial and administrative amendments to the By-Laws, including:

a) Renumbering all subsequent Articles, clauses, subclauses, cross-references, tables of contents, and internal references affected by the insertion of this Article;

b) Correcting consequential numbering and formatting throughout the IPF Constitution, By-Laws, Technical Rules, and associated governance documents; and

c) Making any minor editorial changes necessary to give full effect to this amendment, provided that such changes do not alter the substantive intent approved by the General Assembly.

Transitional Provision – Implementation and Placement

Upon adoption, new IPF By-Law 4.6.1 – Sport for All Division (Pilot Program) shall be inserted immediately following the existing Goals and Objectives of IPF By-Law 4.6 – Sports for All Commission, and preceding IPF By-Law 4.7 – Entourage Commission.

No renumbering of existing IPF By-Laws shall be required.

This amendment shall take effect immediately upon adoption by the IPF General Assembly.

Reasons / General Assembly justification (as submitted)

The purpose of this amendment is to establish a formal governance framework for the IPF Sport for All Division as a pilot program. While the IPF has demonstrated its commitment to expanding participation opportunities, there is currently no dedicated constitutional or by-law provision governing the administration, oversight, or operation of disability-inclusive powerlifting within the Federation.

This amendment creates a structured framework that enables the Executive Committee, Sport for All Commission, and Technical Commission to develop and administer participation pathways in a transparent, consistent, and accountable manner while preserving the integrity of IPF competition.

Importantly, the proposal does not immediately establish permanent competition categories or technical rules. Rather, it authorizes a pilot program that allows the IPF to gather operational experience, develop appropriate eligibility and accommodation procedures, evaluate participation levels, and make evidence-based recommendations to a future General Assembly regarding long-term implementation.

The proposal is consistent with the IPF's strategic objective of promoting wider participation in powerlifting while ensuring athlete safety, fair competition, sound governance, and compliance with international sporting best practices.

SUBMISSION 02 OF 18 | BY-LAW 12.3.3

2)IPF By-Law 12.3.3 – Governance Review – IPF Law and Legislation Commission

Respondent ID	119182223524
Submitting authority	IPF Executive Committee
Proposal category (as reported)	By-Law Amendment
Legislative action	Addition to Existing Constitution Article/ Bylaw Article / IPF Technical Rule / Rule or Policy
Requested effective date	Immediately Upon Adoption

Current text (as submitted)

12.3.3 Governance Review – IPF Law and Legislation Commission

Upon receipt of a complete application for national affiliation, the Secretary-General shall forward the application to the IPF Law and Legislation Commission.

The Commission shall:

* Conduct a formal governance compliance review; * Verify alignment with:

* IPF Constitution;

* IPF By-Laws; and

* Applicable international sport governance standards. * The review shall include a structured governance checklist assessment, identifying:

* Compliance;

* Partial compliance;

* Non-compliance; and

* Required corrective actions, if applicable.

Proposed text (as submitted)

12.3.3 Governance Review - IPF Law and Legislation Commission

Upon receipt of a complete application for national affiliation, the Secretary-General shall forward the application to the IPF Law and Legislation Commission.

The Commission shall conduct a formal governance compliance review of the application and supporting documentation and shall verify the applicant's alignment with:

* the IPF Constitution; * the IPF By-Laws; and * applicable international sport governance standards.

The governance compliance review shall be formally recorded using the IPF Law & Legislation National Affiliation Governance Compliance Checklist, which shall be maintained in an electronic format designated by the IPF, including through a SurveyMonkey questionnaire or other electronic platform approved for this purpose.

The checklist shall provide a standardized record of the Commission's review and shall include, at a minimum:

* verification of the application documents required under By-Law 12.3.2; * review of the applicant's Constitution, By-Laws and other applicable governing documents; * assessment of the applicant's governance structures and provisions against applicable IPF requirements; * verification of alignment with the IPF Constitution and By-Laws; * specific consideration of the affiliation and independence requirements established under By-Law 12.3.7; * identification of compliance, partial compliance, non-compliance, or any requirement for further information; * identification of required or recommended corrective actions, where applicable; * an overall compliance assessment; * a recommendation of Approval, Conditional Approval, Deferral or Rejection, as applicable; and * certification by each participating Law and Legislation Commission member that the member personally conducted the review reflected in their completed checklist.

Where deficiencies are identified, the review shall, where applicable, identify the relevant applicant provision, applicable IPF provision, nature of the deficiency, required or recommended corrective action, and any recommended deadline for achieving compliance.

The Law and Legislation Commission shall maintain a Commission-level record of its final recommendation, including the number of Commission members participating in the review and any dissenting, abstaining or qualified views.

The completed governance compliance review record and the Commission's recommendation shall form part of the membership application record transmitted to the Executive Committee for consideration under By-Law 12.3.4.

The Executive Committee may approve and periodically revise the form, content, electronic platform and administrative procedures associated with the Governance Compliance Checklist without requiring an amendment to this By-Law, provided that the substantive review requirements established herein are maintained.

Reasons / General Assembly justification (as submitted)

The purpose of this amendment is to strengthen the consistency, transparency, accountability and documentation of the IPF national affiliation review process.

By-Law 12.3.3 currently requires the Law and Legislation Commission to conduct a formal governance compliance review and provides for the use of a structured governance checklist. However, the By-Law does not presently establish a uniform mechanism for documenting how that review was conducted, which provisions were examined, whether individual Commission members personally reviewed the applicant's governing documents, what deficiencies were identified, or how the Commission reached its final recommendation.

The proposed amendment formalizes the use of the IPF Law & Legislation National Affiliation Governance Compliance Checklist as the standardized record of the review.

The checklist is designed to document the Commission's assessment of the documents required under By-Law 12.3.2; the applicant's Constitution and By-Laws; governance structures and procedures; alignment with IPF requirements; and, specifically, the affiliation and independence requirements contained in By-Law 12.3.7.

The standardized process also provides clear classifications for compliance and establishes a documented mechanism for identifying deficiencies, corrective actions and conditions that may support Conditional Approval under By-Law 12.3.5.

Importantly, each participating Law and Legislation Commission member will certify that they personally conducted the review reflected in their completed checklist. This provides the Executive Committee with a documented basis for the Commission's recommendation and establishes a clear administrative record demonstrating that the governance review required by the By-Laws was completed.

The amendment does not alter the Executive Committee's authority to determine whether an applicant should be admitted to IPF membership. Rather, it strengthens the quality and consistency of the information provided to the Executive Committee before that decision is made.

The amendment will therefore:

* promote consistent treatment of all applicants; * reduce the possibility that material governance deficiencies are overlooked; * provide applicants with clearer identification of required corrective actions; * strengthen institutional accountability within the affiliation process; * provide the Executive Committee with a documented basis for membership decisions; * preserve an auditable record of the review process; and * improve continuity when the membership of the Law and Legislation Commission changes.

SUBMISSION 03 OF 18 | BY-LAW 12.7

3) IPF By-Law 12.7 – Membership Fee and Other Fees: TUE Fee Schedule Amendment

Respondent ID	119223141175
Submitting authority	IPF Executive Committee (draft for consideration)
Proposal category (as reported)	By-Law Amendment
Legislative action	Partial deletion and replacement of existing fee entries
Requested effective date	Immediately Upon Adoption (proposed)

Current text (relevant entries shown in the screenshot)

IPF By-Law 12.7 – Membership Fee and other Fees

TUE Application Processing Fee	Euro	100
TUE Administration Fee	Euro	150

Proposed amendment (delete and replace)

DELETE: TUE Application Processing Fee — Euro 100

REPLACE: ~~TUE Administration Fee — Euro 150~~ → **TUE Administration Fee — Euro at cost**

Proposed fee schedule text

TUE Administration Fee	Euro	**at cost**

The “TUE Application Processing Fee — Euro 100” line shall be deleted in its entirety. All other fees and provisions within By-Law 12.7 shall remain unchanged by this proposal.

Reasons / General Assembly justification (new draft)

IPF By-Law 12.7 currently contains two separate TUE-related fee entries: a TUE Application Processing Fee of EUR 100 and a TUE Administration Fee of EUR 150. Listing both fees creates duplication and may cause uncertainty regarding the amount to be charged for TUE administration. Deleting the TUE Application Processing Fee entry removes that duplication and establishes a single identifiable TUE-related administrative charge.

Replacing the fixed EUR 150 TUE Administration Fee with “at cost” allows the applicable charge to reflect the actual administrative cost incurred instead of an amount fixed in the By-Laws. This promotes transparency, accurate cost recovery, and consistency in the application of TUE-related charges, while avoiding the need for repeated By-Law amendments solely to update a fixed amount.

SUBMISSION 04 OF 18 | BY-LAW 12.11

4) IPF By-Law 12.11 – Travel Costs for IPF Executive

Respondent ID	119156290396
Submitting authority	IPF Executive Committee
Proposal category (as reported)	By-Law Amendment
Legislative action	Full / Partial Deletion of existing amendment / IPF Technical Rule / Rule or Policy
Requested effective date	Immediately Upon Adoption

Current text (as submitted)

Delete the following final bullet point in its entirety: • Both the President and the Treasurer must approve all claims for expenses or reimbursements. This ruling applies equally to the issue and signing of cheques.

Proposed text (as submitted)

Delete the final bullet point of By-Law 12.11.

Reasons / General Assembly justification (as submitted)

The General Assembly previously approved the deletion of the third paragraph of By-Law 2.4 (Treasurer), thereby removing the underlying provision upon which the final bullet point of By-Law 12.11 was based.

As a result, the remaining provision in By-Law 12.11 has become obsolete and no longer serves an independent regulatory purpose. Retaining language that references a provision no longer contained within the By-Laws may create unnecessary ambiguity and reduce the internal consistency of the governing document.

This proposal is therefore submitted as a housekeeping amendment to remove obsolete text and ensure that the By-Laws accurately reflect the decisions previously adopted by the General Assembly. The amendment does not create any new rights, obligations, financial commitments, or governance powers. Its sole purpose is to improve clarity, consistency, and the overall integrity of the IPF By-Laws.

SUBMISSION 05 OF 18 | BY-LAW 14.4

5)IPF By-Law Article 14.4 - Invitation and Accommodation

Respondent ID	119212790522
Submitting authority	IPF Executive Committee

Proposal category (as reported)	By-Law Amendment
Legislative action	Addition to Existing Constitution Article/ Bylaw Article / IPF Technical Rule / Rule or Policy
Requested effective date	1 January Following Adoption

.

Current text (as submitted)

Paragraph three: At all International Events the accommodation fees shall be payable by bank transfer, credit card, or cash (in the accepted currency of the host country), as follows (Exceptions may be granted by the IPF Executive Committee): • By bank transfer as specified by the organizer in the invitation for each International Event at the latest 14 days prior to the competition. • By credit card or in cash at the meet hotel check-in.

Proposed text (as submitted)

Addition — Insert new paragraph following the accommodation payment provisions (after paragraph three).

At all International Events, accommodation fees shall be payable by bank transfer, credit card, or cash in the accepted currency of the host country, as follows (exceptions may be granted by the IPF Executive Committee):

• By bank transfer, as specified by the organizer in the official invitation for each International Event, no later than fourteen (14) days prior to the commencement of the competition; or

• By credit card or cash at the designated championship hotel upon check-in.

Where accommodation fees have not been paid by bank transfer no later than fourteen (14) days prior to the commencement of the competition, payment must be completed by credit card or cash at the designated championship hotel upon check-in.

No athlete, coach, official, referee, or other accredited participant with outstanding accommodation fees shall receive accreditation or be permitted to participate in the Event until full payment has been confirmed. Where payment is made by credit card at check-in, proof of the completed credit card transaction must be provided. Where payment is made by bank transfer, proof that the transfer has been completed must be provided. Confirmation or proof of payment shall be provided to, or otherwise verified by, the Meet Director and the IPF Championships Secretary before accreditation is issued.

Reasons / General Assembly justification (as submitted)

This amendment is intended to ensure that accommodation fees (hotel reservation bills) associated with IPF Calendar championship events are paid in full before participants receive accreditation and before delegations depart the designated championship hotel. There have been instances where National Member Federations or members of their delegations have departed following a competition with outstanding accommodation balances, leaving organizers to pursue payment after the event has concluded. Requiring payment within the established timeframe, or confirmation of payment at hotel check-in before accreditation is issued, provides a clear and enforceable mechanism to reduce unpaid accommodation bills, protect event organizers and hotels from financial loss, and promote consistent financial responsibility among participating National Member Federations.

SUBMISSION 06 OF 18 | BY-LAW 14.5

6)Insert New By-Law New IPF By-Law 14.X__ — IPF Anti-Racism and Non-Discrimination Declaration

Respondent ID	119212729254
Submitting authority	IPF Executive Committee
Proposal category (as reported)	By-Law Amendment
Legislative action	New IPF Constitution Article / IPF Bylaw Article / IPF Technical Rule / Rule or Policy

Requested effective date	1 January Following Adoption

Current text (as submitted)

None, insert new text after last article in IPF By-Law 14 - Events

Proposed text (as submitted)

Insert New By-Law 14.X__ — IPF Anti-Racism and Non-Discrimination Declaration

As a condition of nomination and participation in any IPF Calendar Event, including World, Regional, international, sub-regional, cup, and other events sanctioned by or appearing on the official IPF Calendar, all nominated athletes and coaches shall complete the IPF Anti-Racism and Non-Discrimination Declaration in accordance with By-Laws 14.9 and 14.10.

Completion of the Declaration shall be recorded and verified through the process designated by the IPF, which may include the IPF Nomination & Scoring System (IPF NS), an IPF-approved electronic platform, or another verification method authorized by the IPF Executive Committee.

Each National Member Federation shall be responsible for ensuring that its nominated athletes and coaches have completed the Declaration. Where nominations for a Regional Event are administered through or verified by a Regional Federation, the respective Regional Federation may verify compliance in accordance with procedures established by the IPF.

The IPF Nomination & Scoring System (IPF NS), where technically available, may display or otherwise verify an individual's Declaration completion status as part of the nomination and accreditation process.

Failure to complete the Declaration shall constitute an administrative eligibility deficiency and shall not, by itself, constitute a disciplinary offense or result in a period of suspension. An athlete or coach who has not completed the Declaration shall be permitted to cure the deficiency at any time, including during accreditation where practicable, by completing the Declaration.

Upon verified completion of the Declaration, the individual shall immediately satisfy this requirement and may participate, provided that all other applicable nomination, eligibility, accreditation, and competition requirements have been met.

Completion of the Declaration after an applicable preliminary or final nomination deadline shall not, by itself, constitute a late nomination, modification of the nomination, or replacement of an athlete or coach, provided that the individual was otherwise properly and timely nominated in accordance with these By-Laws.

The IPF Executive Committee may establish administrative procedures for electronic completion, confirmation, verification, recordkeeping, and implementation of this requirement, provided that such procedures are consistent with the IPF Constitution and By-Laws.

Reasons / General Assembly justification (as submitted)

The proposed amendment integrates the IPF Anti-Racism and Non-Discrimination Declaration directly into the existing nomination process for athletes and coaches participating in all IPF Calendar Events, including Regional Events. Including this requirement within By-Law 14.5 provides National Member Federations, Regional Federations, athletes, and coaches with clear notice at the point of nomination and establishes a practical mechanism for verification through the IPF Nomination & Scoring System (IPF NS) or another IPF-approved system. The amendment complements the eligibility requirements established under By-Laws 14.9 and 14.10 without unnecessarily duplicating the substantive provisions governing the Declaration.

The proposed cure mechanism ensures that an administrative failure to complete the Declaration does not automatically result in disciplinary action or loss of an otherwise valid and timely nomination, as the individual may complete the Declaration and immediately satisfy the requirement. Completion after the applicable nomination deadline does not constitute a late nomination, modification, or replacement of an athlete or coach, thereby protecting properly submitted nominations while maintaining the requirement that the Declaration be completed before participation. The amendment therefore establishes a consistent, practical, and enforceable process for incorporating the IPF's anti-racism and non-discrimination principles into the nomination, eligibility, and accreditation framework across all levels of international IPF competition.

7)IPF BY-LAW 14.5.6 – Coach Nomination Limits

Respondent ID	119144612179
Submitting authority	IPF Executive Committee
Proposal category (as reported)	By-Law Amendment
Legislative action	Addition to Existing Constitution Article/ Bylaw Article / IPF Technical Rule / Rule or Policy
Requested effective date	Immediately Upon Adoption

Current text (as submitted)

IPF By-Law 14.5.6

At open championships, both classic and equipped, each nation may only nominate a maximum of 12 coaches.

At youth and junior combined powerlifting championship, both classic and equipped, each nation may only nominate a maximum of 12 coaches.

At Master's combined powerlifting championships, both classic & equipped, each nation may only nominate a maximum of 16 coaches.

At all age group combined bench press championship, both classic & equipped, each nation may only nominate a maximum of 20 coaches.

Proposed text (as submitted)

NEW

At all age group combined powerlifting championships, both classic & equipped, each nation may only nominate a maximum of ~~12~~ 16 coaches.

At all age group combined bench press championships, both classic & equipped, each nation may only nominate a maximum of 24 coaches.

Reasons / General Assembly justification (as submitted)

Reason

The current wording of IPF By-Law 14.5.6 establishes coach nomination limits for Open Championships, Youth & Junior Combined Championships, Masters Combined Championships, and All Age Group Combined Bench Press Championships. However, no coach allocation currently exists for All Age Group Combined Powerlifting Championships, which are commonly utilized by regional federations. This amendment closes a legislative gap, provides consistency across IPF and regional championships, and eliminates the need for future Executive Committee interpretations when determining coach nomination limits for combined age-group powerlifting events.

General Assembly Justification

The proposed amendment provides clarity and certainty by establishing a specific coach nomination limit for All Age Group Combined Powerlifting Championships. As these events combine multiple age divisions within a single championship, they require greater coach representation than a standard Open Championship while remaining comparable to existing combined championship formats. The amendment removes ambiguity, promotes uniform application of the By-Laws across all regions, and ensures that future championship organizers and Member Nations have a clear governance framework without requiring ad hoc interpretations by the Executive Committee.

8)Insert New IPF By-Law Article 14.9.10 — IPF Anti-Racism and Non-Discrimination Declaration

Respondent ID	119212725582
Submitting authority	IPF Executive Committee
Proposal category (as reported)	By-Law Amendment
Legislative action	New IPF Constitution Article / IPF Bylaw Article / IPF Technical Rule / Rule or Policy
Requested effective date	1 January Following Adoption

Current text (as submitted)

None

Proposed text (as submitted)

14.9.10 IPF Anti-Racism and Non-Discrimination Declaration

As a condition of eligibility to participate as an athlete in any IPF Calendar Event, including World, Regional, international, sub-regional, cup, and other events sanctioned by or appearing on the official IPF Calendar, each athlete shall complete the IPF Anti-Racism and Non-Discrimination Declaration.

Completion of the Declaration shall be recorded and verified through the process designated by the IPF, which may include the IPF Nomination & Scoring System (IPF NS), an IPF-approved electronic platform, or another verification method authorized by the IPF Executive Committee.

National Member Federations shall be responsible for ensuring that athletes nominated for IPF Calendar Events have completed the Declaration before participation.

An athlete who has not completed the Declaration shall be administratively ineligible to participate until the requirement has been satisfied.

Failure to complete the Declaration shall not, by itself, constitute a disciplinary offense or result in a period of suspension. The athlete shall be permitted to cure the deficiency at any time by completing the Declaration. Upon verified completion, the athlete shall immediately satisfy this requirement and may participate, provided all other eligibility, nomination, accreditation, and competition requirements have been met.

The IPF may verify compliance at any stage of the nomination, accreditation, weigh-in, or competition process.

Reasons / General Assembly justification (as submitted)

The IPF Constitution already establishes non-discrimination as a fundamental organizational principle, and this amendment provides a practical mechanism through which individuals formally participating in IPF activities acknowledge and commit to that principle.

The amendment establishes completion of the IPF Anti-Racism and Non-Discrimination Declaration as a uniform eligibility requirement for participation in IPF Calendar Events, including Regional Events, thereby ensuring that the requirement applies consistently throughout the international structure of the IPF.

Applying the requirement to athletes, coaches, referees, officials, athlete support personnel, delegation members, volunteers, event personnel, and other accredited persons recognizes that maintaining a respectful and non-discriminatory sporting environment is a shared responsibility extending beyond athletes alone.

The proposed framework is preventive and educational rather than punitive: failure to complete the Declaration is treated as an administrative eligibility deficiency, and an individual may immediately cure the deficiency by completing the Declaration without an automatic disciplinary sanction or mandatory waiting period.

Electronic administration and integration with the IPF Nomination & Scoring System will provide a practical means of communicating the Declaration, recording completion, and verifying compliance while permitting the Executive Committee to establish appropriate implementation procedures.

Adoption of these amendments will strengthen the IPF's existing commitment to equality, dignity, inclusion, integrity, and respect by translating the Federation's constitutional non-discrimination principles into a clear, consistent, and enforceable participation standard throughout IPF Calendar Events.

SUBMISSION 09 OF 18 | BY-LAW 14.10

9)New Article New IPF By-Law 14.10.1 — IPF Anti-Racism and Non-Discrimination Declaration

Respondent ID	119212727742
Submitting authority	IPF Executive Committee
Proposal category (as reported)	By-Law Amendment
Legislative action	New IPF Constitution Article / IPF Bylaw Article / IPF Technical Rule / Rule or Policy
Requested effective date	1 January Following Adoption

Current text (as submitted)

None

Proposed text (as submitted)

New Article 14.10.1 — IPF Anti-Racism and Non-Discrimination Declaration

14.10.1 IPF Anti-Racism and Non-Discrimination Declaration

As a condition of eligibility to participate or serve in an official or accredited capacity at any IPF Calendar Event, including World, Regional, international, sub-regional, cup, and other events sanctioned by or appearing on the official IPF Calendar, all coaches, referees, officials, athlete support personnel, delegation members, and other accredited or appointed persons shall complete the IPF Anti-Racism and Non-Discrimination Declaration.

For purposes of this requirement, persons serving in an official or formally authorized capacity at an IPF Calendar Event shall include, as applicable, coaches, assistant coaches, team managers, referees, jury members, technical officials, championship officials, medical personnel, athlete support personnel, volunteers, spotters/loaders, event staff, and other persons receiving official event accreditation or appointment.

Completion of the Declaration shall be recorded and verified through the process designated by the IPF, which may include the IPF Nomination & Scoring System (IPF NS), an IPF-approved electronic platform, or another verification method authorized by the IPF Executive Committee.

National Member Federations, Regional Federations, and Event Organizers, as applicable, shall ensure that persons nominated, appointed, registered, or accredited under their authority have completed the Declaration before participation.

A person who has not completed the Declaration shall not be eligible to receive or maintain accreditation or participate in an official capacity until the requirement has been satisfied.

Failure to complete the Declaration shall not, by itself, constitute a disciplinary offense or result in a period of suspension. The individual shall be permitted to cure the deficiency immediately by completing the Declaration. Upon verified completion, eligibility under this provision shall be immediately restored, provided all other applicable eligibility and accreditation requirements have been satisfied.

The IPF may verify compliance at any stage of the nomination, registration, accreditation, or event process.

Reasons / General Assembly justification (as submitted)

The IPF Constitution already establishes non-discrimination as a fundamental organizational principle, and this amendment provides a practical mechanism through which individuals formally participating in IPF activities acknowledge and commit to that principle.

The amendment establishes completion of the IPF Anti-Racism and Non-Discrimination Declaration as a uniform eligibility requirement for participation in IPF Calendar Events, including Regional Events, thereby ensuring that the requirement applies consistently throughout the international structure of the IPF.

Applying the requirement to athletes, coaches, referees, officials, athlete support personnel, delegation members, volunteers, event personnel, and other accredited persons recognizes that maintaining a respectful and non-discriminatory sporting environment is a shared responsibility extending beyond athletes alone.

The proposed framework is preventive and educational rather than punitive: failure to complete the Declaration is treated as an administrative eligibility deficiency, and an individual may immediately cure the deficiency by completing the Declaration without an automatic disciplinary sanction or mandatory waiting period.

Electronic administration and integration with the IPF Nomination & Scoring System will provide a practical means of communicating the Declaration, recording completion, and verifying compliance while permitting the Executive Committee to establish appropriate implementation procedures.

Adoption of these amendments will strengthen the IPF's existing commitment to equality, dignity, inclusion, integrity, and respect by translating the Federation's constitutional non-discrimination principles into a clear, consistent, and enforceable participation standard throughout IPF Calendar Events.

SUBMISSION 10 OF 18 | BY-LAW 15.8

10)Article 15.8: PARTICIPATION IN NON-C0MPLIANT ANTI-DOPING EVENTS

Respondent ID	Upload
Submitting authority	IPF Executive Committee (draft for consideration)
Proposal category (as reported)	By-Law Amendment
Legislative action	Full Replacement and Restructuring
Requested effective date	Immediately Upon Adoption (proposed)

.

Current text (as submitted)

Any athlete, coach, referee, or official who competes or participates in an International Powerlifting or Bench Press Event not organized, sanctioned, and approved by the IPF shall not be permitted to take part in any IPF International, Regional or National Event for a period of 12 months from the date of that non-approved Event. Notwithstanding the above the athlete, coach or official may participate in multi-sport international games, e.g., the Commonwealth Games, the University Games, or the students' international or regional multi-sport games that are not sanctioned by the IPF, however if there is not any athlete or other person participating in such games in any capacity who is serving his/her ineligibility period for a doping offence.

Any athlete, coach, referee or official that participates in any competition in any competition or Event which is organized by a Sporting Body, Federation or Organization that has not received recognition from the World Anti-Doping Agency and is thus non-compliant with the Code and International Standards shall be automatically rendered ineligible and shall not be permitted to take part in an authorized National or International Powerlifting or Bench Press Event for a period of 12 months from the date of the last non-compliant Event. Should the athlete, coach, referee or official participate in an unauthorized Powerlifting or Bench Press Event prior to the lapse of the 12 months, he/she may be sanctioned with a period of ineligibility of an additional 12 months from the date of the non-compliant Event. In the case of a second infringement for such participation, the period of ineligibility may be a maximum of 24 months. The decision to extend the period of ineligibility will be at the sole discretion of the Anti-Doping Commission.

.

Proposed text (as submitted)

~~Any athlete, coach, referee, or official who competes or participates in an International Powerlifting or Bench Press Event not organized, sanctioned, and approved by the IPF shall not be permitted to take part in any IPF International, Regional or National Event for a period of 12 months from the date of that non-approved Event. Notwithstanding the above the athlete, coach or official may participate in multi-sport international games, e.g., the Commonwealth Games, the University Games, or the students' international or regional multi-sport games that are not sanctioned by the IPF, however if there is not any athlete or other person participating in such games in any capacity who is serving his/her ineligibility period for a doping offence.~~

~~Any athlete, coach, referee or official that participates in any competition in any competition or Event which is organized by a Sporting Body, Federation or Organization that has not received recognition from the World Anti-Doping Agency and is thus non-compliant with the Code and International Standards shall be automatically rendered ineligible and shall not be permitted to take part in an authorized National or International Powerlifting or Bench Press Event for a period of 12 months from the date of the last non-compliant Event. Should the athlete, coach, referee or official participate in an unauthorized Powerlifting or Bench Press Event prior to the lapse of the 12 months, he/she may be sanctioned with a period of ineligibility of an additional 12 months from the date of the non-compliant Event. In the case of a second infringement for such participation, the period of ineligibility may be a maximum of 24 months. The decision to extend the period of ineligibility will be at the sole discretion of the Anti-Doping Commission.~~

15.8 ELIGIBILITY CONSEQUENCES FOR COMPETING OR PARTICIPATING IN NON-APPROVED EVENTS

Any athlete, coach, referee, or official who

> (i) competes or participates in an International Powerlifting or Bench Press event not organized, sanctioned, or approved by the IPF (by way of memorandum of understanding or other agreement), together understood to be a "non-approved event," or
> (ii) competes or participates in a national level event not subject to anti-doping testing and results management conducted by a World Anti-Doping Code Signatory,

shall not be eligible to take part in an International, Regional, Invitational Powerlifting or Bench Press championship or event listed on the Calendar - International Powerlifting Federation IPF for a period of 12 months from the start date of the last non-approved event in which they competed or participated.
The decision of non-eligibility shall be imposed by the Anti-Doping Commission

Reasons / General Assembly justification (as submitted)

. Succinct rationale for amendments to IPF Article 15 (formerly IPF Constitution Article 14):
• There has been much discussion surrounding the application of IPF Constitution Article 15 (formerly IPF Constitution Article 14) in the last months. This stems from the unclear drafting and purpose of (the old) IPF Constitution Article 14 (currently IPF Constitution Article 15).
This is an eligibility issue. It should be defined and titled as such.
• There are two ways in which the objective criteria render an individual ineligible to compete in IPF events listed on the IPF Calendar for 12 months:
i. Competition or participation in "non-approved events"
o The events in question are now defined as "non-approved events" and include International Powerlifting or Bench Press event not organized, sanctioned, or approved by the IPF (by way of memorandum of understanding or other agreement).
o This term "non-approved event" captures everything IPF was trying to accomplish (in the old Article 14 in the IPF Con.) but simplifies it.
ii. Competition in National events not subject to anti-doping testing and results management conducted by a World Anti-Doping Code Signatory
o This allows national level athletes to compete in events national events

organized by other organizations so long as testing and results management are conducted by World Anti-Doping Code Signatories.
o IPF has worked very hard, notably since CCES has started helping with its anti-doping program, to break away and clean up the vestiges of the time when national federations conducted their own testing, often by way of service providers whose processes did not comply with the World Anti-Doping Code.
o As a result of these non-Code compliant national level testing practices, IPF's compliance status with WADA was put in serious jeopardy. IPF should do and is doing everything it can not to jeopardize its status as a Code Signatory again.
o IPF has a responsibility to ensure that its athletes are competing on an even playing field, are being subject to the same quality of testing world-wide and are being given the same procedural rights through all results management processes arising from positive tests – Harmonization of rules protects athletes and protects the IPF. This new Article 15 (formerly IPF Constitution Article 14) in now ensures that this will effectively always be the case.
o In direct response to the motion put forward- the way the proposed Article 15 (formerly IPF Constitution Article 14) is written allows and grants more flexibility for national federations to have their athletes compete in other events, so long as they are subject to World Anti-Doping Code-compliant processes, as this is imperative to IPF maintaining Code compliance.
• All events on the "IPF CALENDAR" are now captured to avoid confusion and legal issues
o The 12 months ineligibility will be for all events on the IPF Calendar as posted on the IPF website.
o Referring to events on the IPF Calendar ensures that all Regional, International and special events - like for example Sheffield - are captured.
o As the Calendar is regularly updated yearly, this will always be an accurate list.
• Calculation of when the 12 month starts
o The ineligibility period be 12 months from participation which is counted on the first day of the non-approved event.
o Exact dates could become as issue in the future so expressly stating the day when the ineligibility starts running will resolve potential issues.
• The ineligibility decision is to be imposed by ADC based on an objective criterion.
o the non-eligibility because of breach of one of the 2 disqualifying criteria should be 12 months from the last event competed regardless of the number of breaches.
o the discretionary element has been excluded as it opened the door to appeals.
o The way Article 14 (Currently, IPF Constitution Article 15) used to read on this point was convoluted and too discretionary and lead to legal interpretation issues. Keep it simple.
• The whole section on the Code and International Standards is no longer needed.
o Because events organized by Code compliant bodies or organizations are IPF approved – the others are de facto "non-approved events" and therefore already captured.
o Participation in national events that are not subject to testing and results management from a Code Signatory are now also a disqualifying criterion but that is already said in sub para (ii)

SUBMISSION 11 OF 18 | BY-LAW 18

11)18. APPENDIX — GOVERNANCE PROPOSAL REQUIREMENTS

Respondent ID	119204233393
Submitting authority	IPF Executive Committee
Proposal category (as	By-Law Amendment

reported)	
Legislative action	Full / Partial Deletion of existing amendment / IPF Technical Rule / Rule or Policy
Requested effective date	Immediately Upon Adoption

Current text (as submitted)

APPENDIX - RULE AMENDMENTS Proposals to amend the IPF Constitution, By-Laws, Technical Rules and/or Appendices, hereinafter referred to as rule(s), shall be set out as follows:

a. Clear identification of the exact rule(s) or portion thereof concerned. For example: "Constitution, 12.7..." or "Technical Rules page 24, Errors in loading 14, second paragraph, third sentence after the word 'appeal'."

b. Action to be taken:

- Deletion, or
- Addition, or
- Amendment, delete ... and insert (or replace with). Text involved and (109.2.3) above. Exact identification of the text to be deleted. Note: Paragraphs and sections may be referred to by numbers, sentences and words should be quoted in full and (109.2.3) above. The text of the addition or insertion accompanied in the former case by the proposed rule No. or location.

c. Optional

A brief statement of the reasons(s) for the proposal: This will form part of the formal proposal but is merely for the guidance of the General Assembly. It shall appear on a new line separate from the proposal proper and headed 'Reasons(s)'.

Note: Any proposal, which would cause the rules to become contradictory, shall be ruled out of order. That is, it is the responsibility of the proposer to ensure that the amendments in his proposal are comprehensively framed and all the rules, which would be affected, have been consistently dealt with by the proposal. Renumbering: It may be desirable to renumber a rule or rules to bring them into a more logical order. In such cases the proposal shall clearly indicate by number if available, which rules are to be renumbered and their intended new location, with new numbers(s) if available.

Proposed text (as submitted)

18. APPENDIX — GOVERNANCE PROPOSAL REQUIREMENTS

18.1 Scope and Purpose

Proposals to amend the IPF Constitution, IPF By-Laws, IPF Technical Rules and/or Appendices, hereinafter referred to as “Governance Proposals,” shall be submitted in a clear and standardized format sufficient to allow the proposed amendment and its intended effect to be readily understood.

Governance Proposals shall identify the authorized person or body submitting the proposal and shall comply with the applicable submission requirements and deadlines established by the IPF Constitution, IPF By-Laws, official General Assembly or Extraordinary General Assembly notice, or other applicable IPF rule.

18.2 Identification of the Provision

Each Governance Proposal shall clearly identify the rule or provision proposed to be amended.

The identification shall include, where applicable:

a. the governing document;

b. the Article, By-Law, Rule, Appendix, section, paragraph, or other provision number;

c. the title or subject of the provision; and

d. where necessary to avoid ambiguity, the specific sentence, phrase, or words affected.

Page numbers may be provided as supplementary references but should not be the sole means of identifying a provision where an Article, Rule, section, or other permanent reference is available.

18.3 Type of Proposed Action

The Governance Proposal shall clearly identify the action requested as one or more of the following:

a. Addition — creation of a new Article, By-Law, Rule, paragraph, section, Appendix, or other provision;

b. Amendment — modification or replacement of existing text;

c. Deletion — removal of existing text or an existing provision;

d. Renumbering or Relocation — movement or renumbering of an existing provision; or

e. Consequential Amendment — modification of another provision necessary to maintain consistency as a result of the principal proposed amendment.

18.4 Current Text

Where an existing provision is being amended or deleted, the Governance Proposal shall reproduce the relevant Current Text with sufficient context to identify and understand the provision affected.

Where the proposal creates an entirely new provision, the proposal shall state:

Current Text: None — New Provision.

18.5 Proposed Text

The Governance Proposal shall set out the complete Proposed Text intended to appear in the applicable IPF governing document if the proposal is adopted.

Where practical:

a. deleted language should be clearly identified;

b. new language should be clearly identified; and

c. where extensive revisions would make marked-up text difficult to understand, the proposer may provide the complete replacement text together with a statement that the existing provision is to be deleted and replaced in its entirety.

The substantive meaning of a Governance Proposal shall not depend solely upon formatting, color, tracked changes, or other visual features that may not appear consistently in electronic or printed versions.

18.6 Justification

Each Governance Proposal shall contain a section titled “Justification.”

The Justification shall provide a concise explanation of the purpose and rationale for the proposed amendment and should identify, where relevant:

a. the issue or circumstances the proposal is intended to address;

b. the objective of the proposed amendment;

c. why the amendment is considered necessary or appropriate; and

d. any relevant governance, technical, administrative, legal, athlete-related, or other considerations supporting the proposal.

The Justification shall form part of the proposal materials provided for consideration but shall not form part of the operative rule unless expressly incorporated into the adopted provision.

18.7 Consequential and Related Amendments

The proposer shall review the IPF Constitution, IPF By-Laws, IPF Technical Rules and Appendices, as applicable, to identify any consequential or related amendments reasonably necessary to avoid contradiction, duplication, or inconsistency.

Where a consequential or related amendment is necessary, it shall be expressly identified in the Governance Proposal.

A proposal shall not be rejected solely because of a minor numbering, cross-reference, typographical, grammatical, formatting, or other non-substantive drafting issue that can reasonably be corrected without changing the substance, purpose, or intended effect of the proposal.

A proposal that would create a substantive contradiction with another governing provision, and for which the contradiction cannot be resolved without materially altering the proposal, may be ruled out of order in accordance with the applicable IPF rules.

18.8 Operational Impact — Optional

A Governance Proposal may include a section titled “Operational Impact.”

Where included, the section should identify any reasonably foreseeable administrative or operational consequences associated with implementation, including, where applicable:

a. changes to IPF procedures or administrative responsibilities;

b. effects upon Member Nations, Regional Federations, Commissions, Committees, officials, athletes, coaches, or event organizers;

c. information technology or system requirements;

d. education, communication, implementation, or transitional requirements; and

e. any anticipated implementation period.

Where no material operational impact is anticipated, the proposer may state:

Operational Impact: No material operational impact anticipated.

18.9 Financial Impact — Optional

A Governance Proposal may include a section titled "Financial Impact."

Where included, the section should identify any reasonably foreseeable financial consequences associated with implementation.

Where the exact financial impact cannot reasonably be determined, an estimated or qualitative assessment may be provided.

Where no material financial impact is anticipated, the proposer may state:

Financial Impact: No material financial impact anticipated.

18.10 Effective Date and Transitional Provisions

Each Governance Proposal shall identify a proposed effective date where an effective date different from that otherwise established by the IPF Constitution or By-Laws is requested.

Where implementation requires transitional arrangements, those arrangements shall be identified in the proposal.

Where no alternative effective date or transitional arrangement is proposed, the amendment shall take effect in accordance with the otherwise applicable provisions of the IPF Constitution and By-Laws.

18.11 Level of Urgency

Each Governance Proposal shall identify a Level of Urgency associated with the proposal and may provide a brief explanation supporting that assessment.

The Level of Urgency is provided for informational and administrative purposes and shall not, by itself, determine the order in which a proposal is considered, whether a proposal is admissible, or the legal effect of an adopted proposal.

18.12 Renumbering and Editorial Corrections

Where adoption of a Governance Proposal requires Articles, By-Laws, Rules, paragraphs, sections, Appendices, internal references, or table-of-contents entries to be renumbered or updated, the proposal should identify those changes where reasonably practicable.

Following adoption, non-substantive editorial corrections necessary to implement the decision of the General Assembly, including numbering, cross-references, punctuation, grammar, formatting, capitalization, and table-of-contents updates, may be made, provided that such corrections do not alter the substance, meaning, purpose, or effect of the amendment approved by the General Assembly.

18.13 Standard Governance Proposal Format

Unless otherwise required by the IPF Constitution, IPF By-Laws, IPF Technical Rules, or an official General Assembly or Extraordinary General Assembly notice, Governance Proposals shall be presented substantially in the following format:

1. Proposer Name of the authorized submitting body or person, as applicable.

2. Governing Document IPF Constitution / IPF By-Laws / IPF Technical Rules / Appendix.

3. Provision Article, By-Law, Rule, section, paragraph, or Appendix affected.

4. Proposal Type Addition / Amendment / Deletion / Renumbering or Relocation / Consequential Amendment.

5. Current Text Existing provision, or "None — New Provision."

6. Proposed Text Complete proposed wording.

7. Justification Purpose and rationale for the proposal.

8. Consequential or Related Amendments — Where Applicable Identification of other provisions requiring corresponding amendment.

9. Operational Impact — Optional Description of reasonably foreseeable administrative or operational consequences.

10. Financial Impact — Optional Description or estimate of reasonably foreseeable financial consequences.

11. Proposed Effective Date / Transitional Provisions Proposed effective date or transitional arrangements where different from the otherwise applicable requirements.

12. Level of Urgency Assessment of the urgency associated with the proposal.

18.14 Electronic Submission and Administrative Standardization

Where the IPF establishes an official electronic Governance Proposal Submission Form or other designated submission mechanism, Governance Proposals may be submitted through that mechanism, provided that the mechanism permits the proposer to provide the information required by this Article.

The electronic submission record, including the recorded date and time of submission, may serve as the administrative record confirming receipt of the Governance Proposal.

The IPF may establish standardized templates, electronic forms, instructions, or other administrative guidance to facilitate compliance with this Article, provided that such administrative measures do not substantively restrict or alter a right to submit proposals established by the IPF Constitution or By-Laws.

Reasons / General Assembly justification (as submitted)

The current IPF By-Law Article 18 provides basic instructions for amendments to the IPF Constitution, By-Laws, Technical Rules and Appendices but does not establish a comprehensive or standardized structure for presenting Governance Proposals. The proposed amendment modernizes Article 18 by establishing a consistent format identifying the proposer, governing document and provision affected, type of action requested, current and proposed text, justification, consequential amendments where applicable, proposed effective date or transitional requirements, and level of urgency.

This standardized structure will improve clarity and consistency for Member Nations while requiring consideration of consequential or related provisions to reduce the risk of contradictory or inconsistent rules; Operational Impact and Financial Impact remain optional and do not constitute conditions for an otherwise valid proposal. Minor editorial, numbering, cross-reference, grammatical, or formatting issues may be corrected where doing so does not alter the substance, purpose, or intended effect of the proposal.

SECTION II | IPF MEMBER NATIONS

7 submissions from Denmark, each marked IPF By-Laws in Question 33. Entries are arranged in numerical By-Law order.

SUBMISSION 12 OF 18 | BY-LAW 4.9

12)Article 4.9: Law and Legislation Commission NEW WORDING / ELECTION OF LAW AND LEGISLATION COMMISION

Respondent ID	119220867798
Submitting authority	Denmark (Member Nation)
Proposal category (as reported)	By-Law Amendment
Legislative action	Addition to Existing Constitution Article/ Bylaw Article / IPF Technical Rule / Rule or Policy
Requested effective date	1 January Following Adoption

.

Current text (as submitted)

Goals and Objectives

• Shall serve as the review authority for proposals for amending the Constitution.

• Is responsible for updating the IPF Constitution.

• Shall study the Constitutions of new applicant nations and report the results to the President and the Secretary General.

• Shall evaluate proposed changes to the IPF Constitution and report the results to the Executive Committee. The reported result shall be included in the distribution of proposed amendments.

• Shall review and vet all candidates nominated for elections and report the results to the Executive Committee.

• One of the members of the commission will be nominated a compliant officer. No Constitution of a National Federation applying for membership to the IPF will be approved until the Law & Legislation Commission (L&LC) has reviewed and approved it. All amendments from existing member federations must be sent to the L&LC for its approval and are not valid until approved by the L&LC.

Proposed text (as submitted)

The Law and Legislation Commission shall be composed of a Chair, and a maximum of nine (9) members shall be elected by the IPF General Assembly in accordance with the Constitution. The term of office for all members of the Law and Legislation Commission shall be four years and the mandate of all officers, even those who were elected between General Assemblies, shall expire at the electoral General Assembly. All The Law and Legislation Commission members shall be eligible for reelection. A person may be nominated by any National Member Federation. All the Law and Legislation Commission members, including the Chair, shall be eligible for re-election with a maximum of twelve years in the cumulative term of office, subject to election by the General Assembly every four years. The Law and Legislation Commission cannot be removed at the sole discretion of the Executive Committee.EXISTING WORDING / PROVISIONS TO BE DELETED OR REPLACED: Shall review and vet all candidates nominated for elections and report the results to the Executive Committee.

PROPOSED REPLACEMENT WORDING: The Law and Legislation Commission shall, as an independent body, objectively review and vet all candidates nominated for election in accordance with the Statutes and applicable regulations. All nominations and information concerning nominated candidates shall remain strictly confidential until the expiry of the official nomination deadline. Prior to the expiry of that deadline, no information concerning the identity or number of nominated candidates shall be disclosed to the Executive Committee, its individual members, other candidates, or any other person not directly involved in the independent verification process. Following the expiry of the nomination deadline and completion of the eligibility review, the Selection Committee shall communicate the list of eligible candidates in accordance with the prescribed election procedure.

Reasons / General Assembly justification (as submitted)

Motivation: To safeguard the independence and confidentiality of the nomination process, prevent advance disclosure of candidatures to incumbent Executive Committee members.

SUBMISSION 13 OF 18 | BY-LAW 5.1.1

13)Bylaws 5.1.1: Lodging of Cases with the Court of Justice

Respondent ID	119220876234
Submitting authority	Denmark (Member Nation)
Proposal category (as reported)	By-Law Amendment
Legislative action	Addition to Existing Constitution Article/ Bylaw Article / IPF Technical Rule / Rule or Policy
Requested effective date	1 January Following Adoption

Current text (as submitted)

EXISTING WORDING TO BE DELETED: All cases lodged must be submitted to the IPF Secretary General. No case shall be accepted directly by the Court of Justice from any source.

Proposed text (as submitted)

PROPOSED REPLACEMENT WORDING: All cases lodged shall be submitted directly to the Chair of the Court of Justice. The Court of Justice shall inform the IPF Secretary General that a case has been received. No details, documents or information concerning the substance of the case shall be disclosed to the Executive Committee or any of its members prior to the formal notification of the parties in accordance with the applicable procedure. Where the Secretary General or any member of the Executive Committee is a party to, is named in, or has a potential conflict of interest in the case, that person shall have no access to the case file or confidential information concerning the proceedings, except in their capacity as a formally notified party and under the same procedural conditions as any other party.

Reasons / General Assembly justification (as submitted)

Motivation: To preserve the independence and confidentiality of proceedings before the Court of Justice and to prevent any party or Executive Committee member from obtaining privileged advance access to a complaint or supporting material.

SUBMISSION 14 OF 18 | BY-LAW 5.1.1

14)Bylaws 5.1.1: Hearing Procedure

Respondent ID	119220873317
Submitting authority	Denmark (Member Nation)
Proposal category (as reported)	By-Law Amendment
Legislative action	Addition to Existing Constitution Article/ Bylaw Article / IPF Technical Rule / Rule or Policy
Requested effective date	1 January Following Adoption

Current text (as submitted)

EXISTING WORDING TO BE DELETED: If the complainant requests a hearing, in person or by video conference, it may be granted at the discretion of the Chair of the Court.

Proposed text (as submitted)

PROPOSED REPLACEMENT WORDING: If the complainant or respondent requests a hearing, either in person or by video conference, such hearing shall be granted. The Chair of the Court shall make the necessary arrangements for the hearing within a reasonable period of time.

Reasons / General Assembly justification (as submitted)

Motivation: A party who expressly requests a hearing should have a guaranteed right to be heard. The holding of a requested hearing should not depend solely on the discretion of the Chair.

SUBMISSION 15 OF 18 | BY-LAW 5.6

15)By-Laws 5.6: Composition and Duties of the Court of Justice

Respondent ID	119220878974
Submitting authority	Denmark (Member Nation)

Proposal category (as reported)	By-Law Amendment
Legislative action	Addition to Existing Constitution Article/ Bylaw Article / IPF Technical Rule / Rule or Policy
Requested effective date	1 January Following Adoption

Current text (as submitted)

EXISTING WORDING TO BE DELETED OR REPLACED: The Court of Justice shall be composed of a chair and a maximum of nine (9) members appointed by the Executive Committee.

Proposed text (as submitted)

PROPOSED REPLACEMENT WORDING: The Court of Justice shall be composed of a Chair, and a maximum of nine (9) members shall be elected by the IPF General Assembly in accordance with the Constitution. The term of office for all members of the CoJ shall be four years and the mandate of all officers, even those who were elected between General Assemblies, shall expire at the electoral General Assembly. All CoJ shall be eligible for reelection. A person may be nominated by any National Member Federation. All CoJ members, including the Chair, shall be eligible for re-election with a maximum of twelve years in the cumulative term of office, subject to election by the General Assembly every four years. The Court of Justice cannot be removed at the sole discretion of the Executive Committee.

Reasons / General Assembly justification (as submitted)

Motivation: Required to ensure consistency with the proposed constitutional independence of the Court of Justice and to remove Executive Committee control over the appointment of the body that may adjudicate matters involving the Executive Committee or its members.

SUBMISSION 16 OF 18 | BY-LAW 5.7

16)By-Laws 5.7

Respondent ID	119220888031
Submitting authority	Denmark (Member Nation)
Proposal category (as reported)	By-Law Amendment
Legislative action	Revision/Replacement of Existing Constitution Article/ Bylaw Article / IPF Technical Rule / Rule or Policy
Requested effective date	1 January Following Adoption

Current text (as submitted)

EXISTING WORDING

Other Breaches brought before the Court of Justice

An investigatory panel of at least 3 members of the Court of Justice appointed by the Chair shall investigate alleged violations of the Constitution, By-Laws, and rules. The Chair shall appoint one case reporter to prepare the case file for the respective case.

A confidential investigation shall be undertaken by the panel of the Court of Justice. The right to due process of the person concerned shall be respected.

The investigation outcomes shall be written down in a report that shall be presented to the Members of the Court. The Court shall adjudicate whether a violation of the Constitution, ByLaws and rules has been committed. The sanction decision, where appropriate, shall be made by the Court of Justice. After examining all facts and documents the Court makes its decision and sends it to the relevant parties and the IPF Secretary General who

forwards it to the members of the Executive Committee. Decisions taken by the Court of Justice on the cases arising from violations of the Legal Code may be appealed to the Court of Appeal, as a second instance before the case can be taken to the Court of Arbitration for Sport (CAS).

The Court of Justice shall conduct investigations and make decisions also on all other disciplinary cases or matters arising within the IPF and its Regional Federations, except on doping related cases, ethical matters, or matters with decision making authority for General Assembly.

The Secretary General shall refer the adjudication of all cases to the Court of Justice, except for: • Cases arising from any violations to the IPF Anti-Doping Rules which shall always be dealt with by the Doping Hearing Panel. • Cases arising from a breach of the IPF Code of Ethics. • Cases arising from matters which are within the decision-making authority of the 24 IPF By-Laws effective 12.06.2026 General Assembly.

National Member Federations may request disciplinary adjudication before the Court of Justice via the IPF Secretary General.

The panel of the Court of Justice shall further collect all relevant information and evidence needed to deal with the cases as mandated in the various parts of the Constitution, By-Laws, and the Legal Code. All sensitive information shall be treated with utmost confidentiality by all parties involved.

The Court of Justice may provide advisory opinions on any potential issue related to the Constitution, By-Laws, and Rules.

Disciplinary action may be taken by the COJ when regional federations, national federations, athletes, coaches, or officials FAIL to comply with IPF Court of Justice (COJ) decisions.

Proposed text (as submitted)

Proposed New Amendment By-Laws 5.7 Other Breaches brought before the Court of Justice

An investigatory panel of at least 3 members of the Court of Justice appointed by the Chair shall investigate alleged violations of the Constitution, By-Laws, and rules. The Chair shall appoint one case reporter to prepare the case file for the respective case.

A confidential investigation shall be undertaken by the panel of the Court of Justice. The right to due process of the person concerned shall be respected.

The investigation outcomes shall be written down in a report that shall be presented to the Members of the Court. The Court shall adjudicate whether a violation of the Constitution, ByLaws and rules has been committed. The sanction decision, where appropriate, shall be made by the Court of Justice. After examining all facts and documents the Court makes its decision and sends it to the relevant parties and the IPF Secretary General who forwards it to the members of the Executive Committee. Decisions taken by the Court of Justice on the cases arising from violations of the Legal Code may be appealed to the Court of Appeal, as a second instance before the case can be taken to the Court of Arbitration for Sport (CAS).

The Court of Justice shall conduct investigations and make decisions also on all other disciplinary cases or matters arising within the IPF and its Regional Federations, except on doping related cases, ethical matters, or matters with decision making authority for General Assembly.

The Chair shall refer to the adjudication of all cases to the Court of Justice, except for: • Cases arising from any violations to the IPF Anti-Doping Rules which shall always be dealt with by the Doping Hearing Panel.

• Cases arising from a breach of the IPF Code of Ethics.

• Cases arising from matters which are within the decision-making authority of the 24 IPF By-Laws effective 12.06.2026 General Assembly.

National Member Federations may request disciplinary adjudication before the Court of Justice via the Chair The panel of the Court of Justice shall further collect all relevant information and evidence needed to deal with the cases as mandated in the various parts of the Constitution, By-Laws, and the Legal Code. All sensitive information shall be treated with utmost confidentiality by all parties involved.

The Court of Justice may provide advisory opinions on any potential issue related to the Constitution, By-Laws, and Rules.

Disciplinary action may be taken by the COJ when regional federations, national federations, athletes, coaches, or officials FAIL to comply with IPF Court of Justice (COJ) decisions.

Reasons / General Assembly justification (as submitted)

Motivation: Cases within the jurisdiction of the Court of Justice shall be submitted directly to the Chair of the Court of Justice. The Secretary General may be informed that a case has been received but shall not act as a mandatory intermediary for the filing, screening or transmission of the case. Formal notification and access to case materials shall be governed by the Court of Justice procedure and shall apply equally to all parties. This consequential amendment is necessary because By-Laws 5.7 currently contains additional references requiring cases to pass through the Secretary General. Leaving those references unchanged would conflict with the proposed direct-filing procedure in By-Laws 5.1.1.

SUBMISSION 17 OF 18 | BY-LAW 6.3

17)By-Laws 6.3: Composition and Procedures of the Court of Appeal

Respondent ID	119220881568
Submitting authority	Denmark (Member Nation)
Proposal category (as reported)	By-Law Amendment
Legislative action	Revision/Replacement of Existing Constitution Article/ Bylaw Article / IPF Technical Rule / Rule or Policy
Requested effective date	1 January Following Adoption

Current text (as submitted)

EXISTING WORDING TO BE DELETED OR REPLACED: The Court of Appeal consists of a Chair appointed by the EC and a maximum of 6 members.

Proposed text (as submitted)

PROPOSED REPLACEMENT WORDING: The Court of Appeal consists of a Chair and a maximum of 6 members. The Court of Appeal shall be elected by the IPF General Assembly in accordance with the Constitution. The term of office for all members of the Court of Appeal shall be four years and the mandate of all officers, even those who were elected between General Assemblies, shall expire at the electoral General Assembly. All Court of Appeal members shall be eligible for reelection. A person may be nominated by any National Member Federation. All Court of Appeal members, including the Chair, shall be eligible for re-election with a maximum of twelve years in the cumulative term of office, subject to election by the General Assembly every four years. The Court of Appeal cannot be removed at the sole discretion of the Executive Committee.

Reasons / General Assembly justification (as submitted)

Motivation: Required to ensure consistency with the proposed constitutional independence of the Court of Appeal and to preserve the independence of the second-instance internal judicial body.

SUBMISSION 18 OF 18 | BY-LAW 7

18)By-Laws 7: Ethics Commission – Appointment

Respondent ID	119220884833
Submitting authority	Denmark (Member Nation)
Proposal category (as reported)	By-Law Amendment
Legislative action	Revision/Replacement of Existing Constitution Article/ Bylaw Article / IPF Technical Rule / Rule or Policy

Requested effective date	1 January Following Adoption

Current text (as submitted)

EXISTING WORDING TO BE DELETED OR REPLACED: The Executive Committee shall appoint an independent and impartial Ethics Commission composed of 3 members who are legally qualified persons and who shall serve for the quadrennium. One shall be appointed Chair of the Commission.

Proposed text (as submitted)

PROPOSED REPLACEMENT WORDING: The General Assembly shall elect the Ethics Commission. It is composed of 3 members who are legally qualified persons. The Chair and the other 2 members of the Ethics Commission shall be elected by the IPF General Assembly in accordance with the Constitution and shall operate independently and impartially. The term of office for all members of the Ethics Commission l shall be four years and the mandate of all officers, even those who were elected between General Assemblies, shall expire at the electoral General Assembly. All Ethics Commission members shall be eligible for reelection. A person may be nominated by any National Member Federation. All Ethics Commission members, including the Chair, shall be eligible for re-election with a maximum of twelve years in the cumulative term of office, subject to election by the General Assembly every four years. The Ethics Commission cannot be removed at the sole discretion of the Executive Committee.

Reasons / General Assembly justification (as submitted)

Motivation: Required to avoid a contradiction with the proposed rule that the Ethics Commission is independent from the Executive Committee.